

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 8421	
10/692,437	10/23/2003	Edward H. Tobergte	3313		
7590 06/27/2006			EXAMINER		
Ginnie C. Derusseau			PATEL, TAJASH D		
Chase Law Firm, L.C. Suite 130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4400 College Boulevard			3765		
Overland Park	KS 66211				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati n N .	licati n N . Applicant(s)				
		10/692,437	TOBERGTE, EDWARD H.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Tejash D. Patel	3765				
The MAILING DATE f this con Period for Reply	nmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T  - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the state of the	HE MAILING DA ovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 is communication. mum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, nonths after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 13 Ma	arch 2006					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.					
	<i>,</i> —		secution as to the	e merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
·	the application						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is		rom consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vare withdrawn i	Tom Consideration.					
6) Claim(s) 5-27 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to		oloction requirement					
oralin(s) are subject to t	estriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	luding the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None		,,	(-) (-)-				
1. Certified copies of the pr		have been received.					
	•	have been received in Application	on No				
		ty documents have been receive		Stage			
application from the Inter	•	·					
* See the attached detailed Office		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.				
		,					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa		D₌152)			
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1- Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	++3 01 ℃1 O/2B/08)	6) Other:	atonic reppiloduom (r* 13	u.j			

Application/Control Number: 10/692,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-4 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention I, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 13, 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5-11 and 13-21, and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beland (US 6,845,522) in view of Bassett. Beland discloses left and right torso pads with left and right cap pad extending from the shoulder portion by means of a strap/loop (118) as shown in figure 2. Further, each of the cap pad provides protection to the arm while allowing movement in any direction as shown in figures 2 and 7. Furthermore, the shoulder portion includes removable left and right deltoid pads as shown in figures 14 and 15. Also, the

Application/Control Number: 10/692,437

Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

protective device of Beland is defined by outer arches with means for accessorizing the shoulder pad as shown in figure 7. In addition, a second means (304) releasably secures the cap pad at a lower end of the arm as shown in figures 2, 4, and 5. However, Beland does not show the pads being made of first and second foam breathable layer with openings that align with the perforation of the inner hard layer therebetween.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the pad of Beland from first and second foam breathable layer with openings that align with the perforation of the inner hard layer therebetween as taught by Bassett so that the device can absorb force of impact while being comfortable when worn about the body or as required for a particular application thereof.

4. Claims 12 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beland in view of Bassett as applied to claims 6 and 16 above, and further in view of Bainbridge. Beland when viewed with Bassett discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the first and second breathable layers being formed of closed cell foam beads that are fused together.

Bainbridge discloses a protective pad that is made of closed cell foam beads that are fused together, col. 13, lines 61-67 and as shown in figures 30-32.

Art Unit: 3765

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the first and second breathable layers of Beland when viewed with Bassett being made of closed cell foam beads that are fused together as taught by Bainbridge as an alternative but equivalent means of absorbing force of impact as known in the art.

### Response to Amendment

5. The response filed on March 13, 2006 with election of group II pertaining to claims 5-27 is acknowledged. Further, the Applicant argued in response filed on 10/24/05 that the prior art of Beland '522, that it does not show any second attachment means for attaching the lower edge of the cap to the arms. The Examiner respectfully disagrees since Beland '522 does indeed show a second means for holding the cap about the lower arms as shown in figures 2, 4 and 5. Furthermore, the amendment has necessitated this office action to be made FINAL.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3765

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

June 21, 2005

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER